

MINUTES OF MEETING VIERA STEWARDSHIP DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Viera Stewardship District was held Wednesday, June 17, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. at the Viera Discovery Center, 7350 Shoppes Drive, Suite 102, Viera, Florida 32940.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Jay A. Decator, III	Chairman
Ann M. Gainey (<i>by phone</i>)	Vice Chairman and Secretary
Tiffani Bissett	Supervisor
Chris Leffler	Supervisor
Todd J. Pokrywa	Supervisor

Also present were:

Gary L. Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Jennifer Kilinski (<i>by phone</i>)	Attorney: Hopping, Green & Sams
Clay Archey	Community Manager
Bill Lites (<i>by phone</i>)	Zev Cohen & Associates
Paul Martell	Treasurer

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order and Roll Call

Mr. Decator called the meeting to order at 4:10 p.m.

Mr. Decator called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

There being none, the next order of business followed.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Administrative Matters

A. Oath of Office for Newly Elected Supervisors

Mr. Moyer stated on November 4, 2014, as required by the District's enabling legislation, the District had a landowners election. Mr. Decator attended on behalf of the company and the next order of business is a resolution canvassing and certifying the results of that election, at which three Board members were elected: Mr. Decator, Mr. Leffler, and Mr. Pokrywa. I am a Notary of the State of Florida, and as such, can administer oaths of office.

Mr. Moyer administered the oath of office to Mr. Decator, Mr. Leffler, and Mr. Pokrywa.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2015-01, Canvassing and Certifying the Results of the Landowners Election

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2015-01 into the record by title.

Mr. Moyer stated this Resolution shows that the three people elected to the Board each received 10,200 votes, and their terms of office are for four years, which will be expiring November 2018. This is a document to memorialize what took place at the landowners meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2015-01 canvassing and certifying the results of the landowners election.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2015-02, Designating Officer

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2015-02 into the record by title.

Mr. Moyer stated this is a matter that we address after every election, to consider the organizational structure of the Board. Mr. Decator serves as Chairman, Ms. Gainey serves as Vice Chairman and Secretary, and the rest of the Board members serve as Assistant Secretaries. Mr. Martell serves as Treasurer. I serve as Assistant Treasurer. If you want to keep that same officer structure, I suggest you appoint Mr. Pokrywa as an Assistant Secretary. Feel free to organize anyway you want. You can make one motion to keep the officers you currently have, or you can change the order.

Mr. Decator stated I suggest we keep the same officer structure as described.

On MOTION by Mr. Leffler, seconded by Mr. Pokrywa, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2015-02 designating officers, as discussed.

Mr. Decator asked since the election was November 2014, will these officer positions continue until the next election?

Mr. Moyer stated yes, that is how we have done it in the past.

Mr. Decator asked these terms of office will continue to 2016?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the August 13, 2014, Regular Meeting and the November 4, 2014, Landowner Meeting

Mr. Moyer reviewed the minutes as presented and requested any additions, corrections, or deletions.

Mr. Pokrywa stated I have one minor correction on page 2 of the August minutes. The engineer's name under the fourth item of business is Mr. Hassan ~~Khamal~~ Kamal.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to accept the minutes of the August 13, 2014, regular meeting and the November 4, 2014, landowner meeting, as amended.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Presentation of the Fiscal Year 2016 Budget

A. Fiscal Year 2016 Budget

Mr. Moyer stated this is the beginning of our activity to adopt the budget for fiscal year 2016, which starts October 1, 2015, and concludes September 30, 2016. This is a process. What is intended at today's meeting is for the Board to approve the budget and submit it to the County, and set a public hearing date for a meeting that is at least 60 days from today to give the County sufficient time to review and comment on the budget. They do not approve the budget, but they do have the opportunity to comment on it if they so desire. In putting the budget together, it has come to the attention of staff that there are certain issues that we need to clarify before I ask this Board to go ahead and approve the budget and set the public hearing. We are looking at bringing on additional areas for pond maintenance and preferred cover-type tree maintenance, which have not been factored into this draft. We have also identified and have some pretty good fund balance that the Board can use to offset some of the additional expenses that may be incurred in the next fiscal year. At the end of this meeting, I will ask the Board to continue the meeting for a week or two, which we can discuss the date at the end of the meeting. That will give us sufficient time to get with the developer and with our consultants to try to better understand the work program that the District will be involved in on a going-forward basis.

Mr. Decator asked will you walk us through this budget to the extent we have a budget here?

Mr. Moyer stated it is very similar to the budget we are currently operating under. Therein lies the challenge. If we are putting in new areas that are going to cost more, we are going to have to identify them. My understanding is that within Strom Park, there is additional platting activity that will bring the total number of lots by the end of the calendar year to 343. We are currently using 271 for the number of lots that are being charged. It is those types of adjustments that need to be made.

Mr. Decator stated for clarity to staff, there is a narrative that follows the budget and puts into focus the various types of maintenance performed by the District, such as the stormwater management system maintenance, the preferred cover-type environmental tree maintenance, street lighting, and the community canal maintenance. There will be five additional lakes that the District will be asked to maintain commencing at the beginning of next fiscal year. We also have additional preferred cover-type maintenance in Strom Park that amounts to an additional 16.6 acres. We have about three acres now, and that will increase to 16.6 acres. There are also additional lakes outside of Strom Park in the proposed neighborhoods of Seville and Reeling Park that by the middle of next fiscal year will be platted and the District will be asked to maintain those lakes. Those are an additional five lakes, as well as two additional lake bodies that are part of the dog park development. Although the District will not maintain the dog park, it will maintain the stormwater management system and the preferred cover-type tree areas around the dog park, which are factored into that 16.6 acre figure. That is the additional maintenance that I anticipate in the developed areas. In the undeveloped areas, what is not discussed here is the relocation of the burrowing owl preserve. The primary relocation cost will be the construction of artificial burrows that is required as part of that relocation permit. We also have additional fencing of conservation area that is required in conjunction with the St. Johns River Water Management District permit, which is not included. The last item is, the developer has received approval of a supplemental habitat conversion plan by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that the Duda Ranch would like to commence work on now to help it address issues concerning creating additional pasture. I do not know what those costs will be to the District in conjunction with that, but those are all things that staff needs to focus on and get input from our environmental professional and our engineer. Those two individuals are key to providing input into this process.

Mr. Moyer asked does Mr. Decator mind taking the lead on setting up a meeting with them? I can come over or join you on the phone to work through all those issues so that we get some numbers.

Mr. Decator stated no, I do not mind. One thing that we will get input from the developer on is, our maintenance has roughly doubled in terms of subdivision maintenance. I think that pace of expanding responsibilities will continue into the foreseeable future. However, because the District is so large and encompasses thousands

of acres that pay assessments, we will still be generating a surplus that the Board needs to look at. Either we are going to adjust that assessment so there is not a surplus or we will look at the alternatives to using that surplus. I do not know if they can be applied to reduce current assessments on a temporary basis. That is guidance from staff that we would be looking for.

Mr. Moyer stated we will add Ms. Kilinski into that call, as well, to address what we can use those surplus funds for. Obviously this is a work in progress, and we will discuss a date at the end of the meeting when we can bring a revised version to the Board.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2015-03, Approving the Budget and Setting the Public Hearing Thereon

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval and Ratification of Agreements

A. Engagement Letter from Carr, Riggs & Ingram to Provide Auditing Services for Fiscal Year 2014

Mr. Moyer reviewed the engagement letter from Carr, Riggs & Ingram to provide auditing services for fiscal year 2014 in an amount not to exceed \$2,500.

Mr. Moyer stated this is the firm the Board selected to perform the fiscal year 2014 audit.

B. Street Lighting Agreement with FPL for Strom Park Phase 2

Mr. Moyer reviewed the street lighting agreement with FPL for Strom Park Phase 2.

C. Agreement with ECOR Industries to Maintain Three Ponds in Strom Park for Aquatic Weed Control

Mr. Moyer reviewed the agreement with ECOR Industries to maintain three ponds in Strom Park for aquatic weed control in the amount of \$225 per month.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given (1) to ratify the engagement letter from Carr, Riggs & Ingram to provide auditing services for fiscal year 2014 in an amount not to exceed \$2,500, (2) to ratify the street lighting agreement with FPL for Strom Park Phase 2, and (3) to ratify the agreement with ECOR Industries to maintain three ponds in Strom Park for aquatic weed control in the amount of \$225 per month.</p>
--

The record will reflect Ms. Bissett was absent for the vote on the above motion.

D. Agreement with Zev Cohen & Associates to Serve as the District's Environmental Professional

Mr. Moyer reviewed the agreement with Zev Cohen & Associates to serve as the District's environmental professional.

Mr. Decator stated the agreement in the agenda package is incorrect. It is an agreement with The Viera Company for services pertaining to development work that is independent and unrelated to the District. I did make copies of the correct agreement, but I neglected to bring them to the meeting. I would like to table consideration of this agreement.

Ms. Kilinski stated as discussed at the last meeting, the District is formally under contract with AECOM. When Mr. Lites left that company to go to Zev Cohen & Associates, we discussed having a new agreement in similar form as the previous agreement with AECOM. The document that Mr. Decator is distributing will be an exhibit to the form of agreement with the District that will be drafted and circulated to Mr. Lites.

Mr. Decator stated in the minutes of the last meeting indicate Ms. Kilinski was authorized to prepare that agreement and circulate it. We are out of step a little because the associate counsel for The Viera Company, Mr. Drew Melville, was the liaison between the developer and the District and was facilitating these agreements. He has left The Viera Company, so some of that fell off the edge of my desk. Ms. Kilinski should proceed with that agreement. In the interim, I think we need to approve the proposal that will be attached to the formal agreement between Zev Cohen & Associates and the District.

Ms. Kilinski stated I agree.

E. Annual Utilization Program for Fiscal Year 2015 for Viera Wilderness Park, Modification by Addendum

Mr. Lites reviewed the annual utilization program (AUP) modification for fiscal year 2015 for Viera Wilderness Park.

Mr. Lites stated we are amending this annual utilization program in order to allow for construction of artificial burrows in the Viera Wilderness Park Stage 1, which is in our burrowing owl permits for area 3. We were able to relocate the Viera burrowing owl preserve (VBOP) into what is going to be Village 2 into the Viera Wilderness Park. That will happen in three locations. The third location is in stage 3. The other two locations are in stage 4. We need to be able to construct burrows in stage 1 as approved by Florida Wildlife Commission, and that is the first thing we want to do. A. Duda & Sons will perform the work on that, and I will supervise it.

Mr. Decator stated that ties in with my brief comment about some additional work that the District is undertaking, which includes the relocation of that burrowing owl preserve.

Mr. Lites stated the second item on the addendum is the supplemental habitat conversion plan relative to the opinion we received from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for caracara across the whole DRI, which included the ability to convert the habitat from shrub and forested areas into better caracara habitats. They like low-growing habitats such as quarries, soft fields, or pastures. We are going to get started now that we have the approvals. There are 683 supplemental habitat conversion planning acres across the whole Viera Wilderness Park, and about 100 acres in stage 1. We are going to get started on those 100 acres.

Mr. Decator stated the whole purpose of this supplemental habitat conversion plan was to have a plan on the shelf approved by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service if and when another caracara pair enters Viera. As I understand it, the biological opinion addresses the existing mitigating impacts to the existing caracara population. In order to avoid delay, in the event an additional pair or other birds show up, the developer wanted to have a plan already approved by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. However, Duda, working with the District, prefers to start this conversion work early. There is no new bird. We are expanding our habitat area so that we can accommodate additional birds. By doing it in advance, I want to make sure we are not jeopardizing this additional habitat for use if a bird shows up 75 years from now. Is that contemplated in our permit?

Mr. Lites stated yes, in section 9 of the supplemental habitat conversion plan that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service approved. It talks about converting ahead of time and if you ever need to use this habitat or these acres, then those acres that were already converted will apply toward the 600 acres. A. Duda & Sons, The Viera Company, and the District's environmental professional will track those locations and acreages of converted habitat.

Mr. Decator stated if we make this conversion now, I guess it is incumbent upon the District, working in conjunction with A. Duda & Sons, to keep the habitat maintained. Are we going to do that through cattle grazing?

Mr. Lites stated yes. If it is converted to pasture, it will be through cattle grazing. If it is converted to wet and dry prairie, it will be through burning and later removing the

shrub layers, which will be done mostly through burning. The third item on the addendum is, we have added another conservation easement in the Conservation District of the Viera Wilderness Park Stage 1 for mitigation for the Adelaide parcel. We need to fence all of the three wetland mitigation areas so the cattle cannot get into them. We are going to need to clear some trees around the southeast corner of the Viera Wilderness Park Stage 1.

Mr. Decator stated the purpose of this addendum is to authorize this work in accordance with the conservation easement held by the District. Because it was not in the current AUP, it is not in our budget. We will need to get input into the cost of these things. This meeting will be continued, and we will bring in Mr. Lites along with Mr. Pete Coultas from A. Duda & Sons to calculate the cost of this work and to determine how it will be budgeted.

Mr. Lites stated the reason we wanted to add it to the agenda is because we wanted to get started on all three of these things on Friday. None of these items were the previously approved AUP for this fiscal year.

Mr. Leffler stated I have a question on the fenced-in wetlands. Is part of the plan to maintain inside the fenced area for nuisance exotics?

Mr. Lites stated yes, we will maintain the wetlands. The southeast corner is Viera Wilderness Park Stage 1 which is a mosaic of wetlands and uplands. That corner provides wetland mitigation, so they are not allowed to have cattle in there. They will still burn regularly and keep exotic species out of there with our herbicide maintenance plan.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the annual utilization program modification for fiscal year 2015 for Viera Wilderness Park.

F. Annual Utilization Program for Fiscal Year 2016 for Viera Wilderness Park

Mr. Lites reviewed the annual utilization program (AUP) for fiscal year 2016 for Viera Wilderness Park.

Mr. Lites stated this plan is very similar to last year's AUP. For resource protection and conservation, we continue to coordinate with A. Duda & Sons and The Viera Company to make sure that the supplemental habitat conversion plan, which has now been approved, will be implemented. For item 4.1(c), we have another easement that we need to record, which is the last piece inside the fence that we discussed. Item 4.2 is for

prescribed fire. We anticipate a burn in the late winter or early spring. We did burns across the site two years ago, but we did not really get a good burn in the southeast corner. We are going to try to focus on that area to get the vegetation burned back to a better habitat. Item 4.3 is vegetation management. A. Duda & Sons has continued to do the mechanical management, which is described in 4.3(c). Two years ago, they removed quite a bit of Brazilian pepper with a track hoe. Last year, they did roller chopping. Now we are able to actually get into some of these areas and apply herbicide. That has been very successful on Brazilian pepper. Now we have opened up more land to see what we have. We will continue to eradicate the Brazilian pepper. The next exotic species we will try to target will be cogongrass. We will do that mostly through herbicide applications. We will develop a budget for next year. We are still working within the budget for this year through August to keep the cogongrass under control. Originally, we estimated that we would have about 5% exotic species out there. After we removed a lot of the Brazilian pepper, we were not sure how much cogongrass we had, so we had to reassess. I think we increased our percentage of exotics from 5% to about 8%. We continue to work on controlling and eradicating exotics. They should be reduced after two or three more years. Item 4.5 is cattle grazing and other agricultural practices. We will continue to graze cattle in the pasture area and range graze in the woods and the conservation areas outside the fenced areas. I believe they are going to do some swale and ditch maintenance in fiscal year 2016, which is mostly in the pasture. Item 4.6 is monitoring. We continue to monitor for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and we are in our third year. We continue to show good results. Last year, we told them that we had revised our percentage cover of exotics to a higher number, and now we are going to work it down through our management activities. Item 4.7 is operations. We discussed the fence that may be installed. We are also improving the fences around the west side and along the south side to remove a lot of the vegetation that is growing and to control access from cattle. For wildlife management, they continue to hunt and take nuisance animals out of the area. Stage 1 is fulfilling its goal of providing nuisance species mitigation. The funds are achieving the goals of making the habitat better for species according to the permit that we obtained.

Mr. Decator stated for wildlife management for nuisance animals, such as hogs in particular, I know there are a lot of complaints in the community in the District in the developed areas about hogs. What is the District doing to control that nuisance animal?

Mr. Lites stated we leave that up to the nuisance animal plan that A. Duda & Sons has. They have allowed hunters to take hogs, either shooting them or trapping them. They could be a little more aggressive if they wanted to be. Hunting hogs is something that people who have hunting leases enjoy doing, so they are not incentivized to really eradicate the hogs. Understand that you can never permanently eradicate them, but you can go in and aggressively take them out. There are opportunities to either hire someone or allow someone to go in and trap hogs. Sometimes they will do it for free. If they are very thorough, it gets rid of most of the hogs for a year or so, and then you have to do it again.

Mr. Decator stated I know that A. Duda & Sons handles the hog control in undeveloped areas. How does A. Duda & Sons feel about the District using a trapping service within the developed areas? Mr. Archey is the community manager for The Viera Company. I believe we have a hog issue in Strom Park.

Mr. Archey stated yes, we do.

Mr. Decator stated A. Duda & Sons does nothing within the developed neighborhoods. Maybe that is an opportunity for the District to hire someone to go in and trap those hogs. There have been resident complaints about these animals. The District seems to be ideally situated to do something about it.

Mr. Lites stated I agree, and some of the hog trappers are very efficient at getting rid of the hogs. If you would like me to find out and make a recommendation, I will.

Mr. Decator stated that is fine. It is confined to developed areas. We rely on A. Duda & Sons to control the undeveloped areas, but in the developed areas, the District should take that on so that the residents can see that the District is being proactive in doing something about the hog situation. The AUP goes to the core of the District's environmental function. It is a little different than the proposals that we will be approving.

On MOTION by Mr. Leffler, seconded by Mr. Pokrywa, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the annual utilization program for fiscal year 2016 for Viera Wilderness Park.

Mr. Decator stated in the next two or three weeks, someone will meet with Mr. Lites to work up the numbers for this specific AUP to the extent that they will be different from the numbers we have now. We will need those numbers for next fiscal year's budget.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Manager

i. Financial Statements

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

Mr. Moyer stated as we discussed earlier, we are not spending anywhere near what we had budgeted, which is to be expected the way we set this up.

ii. Check Register and Invoice Summary

Mr. Moyer reviewed the check register and invoice summary, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the check register and invoice summary as presented.
--

The record will reflect Ms. Bissett was absent for the vote on the above motion.

iii. Number of Registered Voters – 129

Mr. Moyer reviewed the number of registered voters provided by the Supervisor of Elections for Brevard County as of April 15, 2015, which is 129. Pursuant to the District's enabling legislation, by the end of fiscal year 2016, we will need to make an estimate of the number of registered voters that we anticipate within the District at build-out. Then each year, we will monitor the number of registered voters. When we get to 60% of the estimated registered voters that will occupy the District, we start another conversion process on electing resident electors to the Board. The way it sets up right now, Ms. Bissett was our first resident Supervisor. When we get to year 10, we will then elect a second Supervisor from the resident/registered voter pool. Then it goes to that 60%, and we will continue to have that balance until we reach 60%. We will add the third resident Supervisor at 60%, and it converts so many years after that to add the remaining

Supervisors. Each year, I will enter into the public record how many registered voters we have.

iv. Acceptance of the Audited Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2014

Mr. Moyer reviewed the audit for fiscal year 2014 as performed by Carr, Riggs & Ingram. They gave us a clean audit opinion, which means that the auditors did not take any exception to the financial records that the District provided to them through September 30, 2013. There are various reports that they make. In the back of the audit are items that are required either by the Auditor General or Florida Statutes. One is a report on internal control over financial reporting. They identified that they did not find any material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting. They also look at compliance with ordinances, laws, rules, contracts, regulations, and things of that nature. They state that they did not identify anything that we did not comply with. The final report is the management letter. They are required to make certain findings either by the Auditor General or the laws of the State of Florida. It is also their opportunity to bring matters before the Board that are not material weaknesses but they suggest may make our accounting system better, and they did not have any suggestions. They also found that we are not in a state of financial emergency pursuant to the provisions of Section 218.503(1), Florida Statutes. They had no recommendations relative to the audit for the way we are conducting ourselves for the financial reporting of the District. It was a clean audit with no recommendations from the auditor.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to accept the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2014 and to authorize staff to file it with the appropriate State agencies.

The record will reflect Ms. Bissett rejoined the meeting and participated in this vote.

v. Auditing Committee

a. Appointment of Audit Selection Committee

Mr. Moyer stated we need to start a process that we went through three years ago to select an auditor. This process is driven pretty much by State law. I distributed the process to the Board. Previously, the Board appointed itself as the audit selection committee, and I would recommend that the Board serve again in that capacity. The law requires that we have an audit committee, so you would actually not be serving in your role of Supervisor but as a member of the audit committee. We will meet at the same time

the Board gathers to meet, but the first section of the meeting, you will meet as the audit committee, and then you will meet as the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Decator asked does the attorney have any comments as to the Board members serving as the audit selection committee?

Ms. Kilinski stated I talked with Ms. Brenda Burgess about this prior to the meeting. Because of the timing and the schedule that this District meets, if we had a continued meeting, we could also deal with this issue in part. Typically, there is an initial meeting of the audit selection committee after the Board appoints itself as the audit committee. At that meeting, the audit selection committee then reviews the evaluation criteria and the advertisement, as required by Statute. At the following meeting, the Board will review proposals that are received in response to the package that the committee approved at the prior meeting. Typically, it is a three-step process, but because this Board only meets twice a year or sometimes three times a year, what we are looking at doing that meets the intent of the Statute and the intent of our rules, if the Board appoints itself as the audit committee, then the Board will consider the draft RFP package for auditing services at this meeting. At the next meeting, the motion that the Board considers today will authorize staff to publish notice for auditing services. We will receive proposals and bring them to you at your budget adoption meeting for final approval. We are combining steps one and two by appointing an audit selection committee to review the proposals that will be received between now and the August meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to appoint the Board members as the audit selection committee.

b. Authorization to Solicit Requests for Proposal from Qualified Auditing Firms

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to authorize staff to solicit requests for proposal from qualified auditing firms.

c. Approval of RFP Package

Mr. Moyer stated this includes the evaluation criteria that we are recommending to use to score the responses to the RFP that we will advertise. It is broken into five categories: ability of personnel is 30 points, proposer's experience is 20 points,

understanding of the scope of work is 10 points, ability to furnish the required services is 20 points, and price is 20 points. Unlike hiring an engineer, in which we cannot ask for a price, for auditors, we can ask for price. We have found that to be very useful because there is a fairly small universe of auditors that are familiar with special Districts and CDDs. In many cases, price is a significant consideration.

Mr. Martell stated I think the evaluation criteria is appropriate.

On MOTION by Mr. Leffler, seconded by Mr. Pokrywa, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the RFP package and evaluation criteria for solicitation of auditing services.
--

B. Attorney

Ms. Kilinski asked I may have missed this, but did the Board consider and approve the Zev Cohen proposal?

Mr. Decator stated no, I pulled that from the agenda to be considered at the continuation of this meeting. The proposal that was in the Board's package was actually an incorrect agreement. It was between Zev Cohen and The Viera Company. There is a separate proposal for the District, and I neglected to bring that with me. We will cover that at the continued meeting.

Ms. Kilinski stated for the continued meeting, I will submit a form of agreement that you can consider and approve along with the revised proposal for Zev Cohen. At the last meeting, the Board approved in substantial form a special warranty deed for tracts H and OS-N-1-2 for Strom Park. Those deeds have been executed and recorded, and the conveyance of those tracts has been completed since the last meeting. Also at the last meeting, the Board approved a form of easement for the canals in Strom Park Phase 1 from A. Duda & Sons to the District. That form of easement is being reviewed by counsel for A. Duda & Sons. I will provide a formal update at the next meeting about that easement being finalized and executed. At the last meeting, the Board approved a memorialization by the District accepting the easement by plat. You may recall that we discussed with some level of specificity the plats that are being recorded. In order for the District to accept conveyance of easements or real property, the District would be required to sign on to the plat. From an administrative perspective, that became quite difficult. In order to recognize the District's acceptance of property interests, not real property, by plat, we have prepared a separate acknowledgement form for the Chairman

to sign on behalf of the District that acknowledges acceptance of certain easements and property interests by plat.” In order to convey the plats to the District, we prepared a separate acknowledgement form signed by the Chairman on behalf of the District that acknowledges acceptance of certain easements by plat. At the last meeting, the Board approved doing that for the phase 1 plat. Since that time, three other plats have been added. We have signed and dated acknowledgements of easements for phases 1, 2, and 3 of Strom Park. We will need to do phase 4 next. Today, I am asking for authorization for staff to continue to provide those acknowledgements of each plat so we do not need to bring them all back. I would like to get preapproval for them, so long as the Chairman signs and approves them and they are reviewed and approved by District staff on a continuing basis.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to authorize staff to provide acknowledgements for the District’s acceptance and grant of easement for any property interests appearing on plats for Strom Park, on a continuing basis, subject to review and approval by District counsel and execution by the Chairman.

Ms. Kilinski stated there are agreements for Tropic-Care quarterly mowing in the amount of \$2,700. We will forward an agreement to the Chairman for execution this afternoon, as well as one for ECOR Industries for the maintenance activity that was approved earlier in the meeting. The contracts address maintenance responsibilities in accordance with the proposals. This does not require a motion, and this is just an update on those agreements.

Mr. Decator stated I know Ms. Kilinski was working with Mr. Melville as associate counsel with The Viera Company. He left The Viera Company to assume a position with a law firm in south Florida. Going forward, Ms. Kilinski will be interacting directly with legal counsel for A. Duda & Sons in Oviedo. With respect to the developer’s counsel, that will be me for The Viera Company.

Mr. Pokrywa stated I would like to request an update that can be at the continuation of this meeting or the next meeting. I think it would be helpful for the Board to hear if there were any legislative changes affecting special Districts in the last legislative session that ended, or if there are other things that should be on our radar screen for the upcoming

legislative session with committee meetings getting ready to start this fall, with session being early next year.

Ms. Kilinski stated at the end of the last legislative session, there were several bills that we were monitoring closely. The big ones did not pass. I can provide an update on those at the next meeting. There were a couple public records changes that were relatively minor and some on public bidding for construction contracts. From this District's perspective, nothing of importance will have any effect on our activities. I will provide a report from what we were monitoring at the next meeting.

C. Engineer

There being nothing to report, the next item followed.

D. Environmental Professional

There being nothing to report, the next order of business followed.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Decator stated the Board approved two motions regarding the AUP addendum for fiscal year 2015 and the AUP for fiscal year 2016. Those motions did not specifically authorize me as Chairman to sign them. Should that have been part of those motions? Or is that implied in the approval by the Board?

Mr. Moyer stated yes, we have always implied that it is part of the motion that the Chairman is authorized to sign them on behalf of the Board.

Mr. Decator stated they are on my desk, and I will sign them after this meeting.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor Requests and Comments

Mr. Pokrywa stated at the continuation of this meeting or at an upcoming meeting, I think it would be helpful for the Board to have a briefing about the bond market to give us a good overview. That can be from another individual as to what the current situation is and bring us up to speed so that we understand the current bond market environment.

Mr. Moyer stated I would be glad to do that.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Mr. Moyer stated I would like to schedule the continuation of this meeting by the end of the month so that we can have our budget hearing in late August. We need to have 60 days to provide it to the County. That gives us a short window before the end of this month. We also need to schedule another meeting so that staff can work with the developer and the District's consultants to prepare the budget.

After a brief discussion, the Board selected July 1, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. at the Viera Discovery Center.

On MOTION by Mr. Pokrywa, seconded by Mr. Leffler, with all in favor, the meeting was continued to July 1, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. at the Viera Discovery Center.

Ann M. Gainey, Secretary

Jay A. Decator, III, Chairman